

ANNEX 3

SELECTION GRID

If more than one consortium submits an expression of interest under this call, the Contracting Authority will proceed as follows.

During the opening and administrative check, the following elements will be assessed:

- Respect of the deadline. Expressions of interest received later than the deadline set will be automatically rejected.
- Eligibility of the applicant and partners according to the eligibility criteria set in Section 2.1.1 of the Guidelines.

If any of the requested information is missing or is incorrect, the Expression of interest may be rejected.

The Expressions of Interest that pass this check will be assessed according to the selection criteria set in Section 2.1.3 of the Guidelines and will receive an overall score out of 50 detailed in the selection grid below.

The selection criteria are divided into headings and subheadings. Each subheading will be given a score between 1 and 5 as follows: 1 = very poor; 2 = poor; 3 = adequate; 4 = good; 5 = very good.

The final score will be a combination of global scores attributed to the expression of interest/applicant and, when applicable, of individual scores attributed to each member of the consortia proposed, based on the information provided in each individual expression of interest.

In particular; in the case of items 1.1, 1.3 and 2.2, an individual score will be attributed to each applicant/partner. The final score for those items will be the average of the individual scores received by each member of the consortium.

The other scores will be attributed globally for the consortium (items 1.2, 1.4 and 2.1) or solely for the lead applicant (item 1.5).

Selection Grid

Section	Maximum Score
1. Technical and financial capacities	35
1.1. Does the applicant/partner have sufficient technical experience in Integrated Border Management projects inside and outside Europe? <i>Sections a), e) and f) of Annex 2</i>	5x2*
1.2. To what extent is the EoI built on a variety of EU policies and practices relevant to border management and promotes the involvement of different EU Member States' organisations? <i>Annex 2</i>	5x2*
1.3. Does the applicant/partner have sufficient management capacity (including to deploy the necessary human resources and expertise from EU administrations in the beneficiary countries and the EU)? <i>Sections b), c) and m) of Annex 2</i>	5
1.4. Does the consortium have the capacities to manage activities corresponding in scale and complexity and the ability to handle the budget of the contract to be awarded? <i>Sections e) and f) of Annex 2</i>	5

1.5. Does the lead applicant have stable and sufficient sources of finance? <i>Section h) of Annex 2</i>	5
2. Network and visibility	15
2.1. To what extent does the Consortium have a network of technical offices in Latin America <i>Section d) of Annex 2</i>	5x2*
2.2. How did the applicant/partner ensure the European Union visibility in other EU-funded programmes? <i>Section g) of Annex 2</i>	5
Maximum total score	50

*this score is multiplied by 2 because of its importance

Expressions of interest with a total score lower than 30 will be rejected

Expressions of interest with a total score equalling or higher than 30 will be ranked by score.

The highest scoring EoI will be pre-selected.